

Online Appendix for “Size Discovery”

Darrell Duffie*

Haoxiang Zhu†

June 27, 2016

1 Proof of the Continuous-Time Version of Sequential Double Auctions

In this appendix we prove Proposition 7 in Appendix B of [Duffie and Zhu \(2016\)](#), the continuous-time version of the sequential double auction model.

Recall that the HJB equation of trader i is

$$0 = \sup_D [-D(\Phi(D + \mathcal{D}_{-i}(\cdot; z, Z))\Phi(D + \mathcal{D}_{-i}(\cdot; z, Z)) + V'(z)D(\Phi(D + \mathcal{D}_{-i}(\cdot; z, Z))) - \gamma z^2 + r(vz - V(z)), \quad (1)$$

where the continuation value function is

$$V(z) = v\frac{Z}{n} - \frac{\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 + \left(v - 2\frac{\gamma Z}{r n}\right) \left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right) - \frac{\gamma}{r n - 1} \left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right)^2. \quad (2)$$

With (2), the HJB equation, applied to trader i at time t , is equivalent to solving, for each outcome of Z , the optimal demand

$$\sup_x [-x\mathcal{D}_{-i}^{-1}(-x; z_{it}, Z) + V'(z_{it})x], \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{-i}^{-1}(q; z_{it}, Z)$ is the inverse total demand of the other agents at any quantity q , meaning that price p for which

$$q = (n - 1)a(v - p) - \frac{2a\gamma}{r}(Z - z_{it}).$$

*Graduate School of Business, Stanford University. Email: duffie@stanford.edu.

†MIT Sloan School of Management. Email: zhuh@mit.edu.

Solving,

$$\mathcal{D}_{-i}^{-1}(q; z_{it}, Z) = v - \frac{1}{a(n-1)} \left[q + \frac{2a\gamma}{r}(Z - z_{it}) \right].$$

Thus, the demand problem of agent i is

$$\sup_x \left[-x \left(v - \frac{1}{a(n-1)} \left[-x + \frac{2a\gamma}{r}(Z - z_{it}) \right] \right) + V'(z_{it})x \right]. \quad (4)$$

The first-order necessary condition for optimality of x^* is

$$-v + \frac{2\gamma}{r(n-1)}(Z - z_{it}) + V'(z_{it}) - 2x^* \frac{1}{a(n-1)} = 0,$$

where

$$V'(z_{it}) = v - 2\frac{\gamma}{r} \frac{Z}{n} - 2\frac{\gamma}{r} \frac{1}{n-1} \left(z_{it} - \frac{Z}{n} \right).$$

The unique solution x^* of this first-order condition also satisfies the second-order sufficiency condition, and is given by

$$x^* = \frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z_{it} \right).$$

The associated market clearing price is

$$p^* = \mathcal{D}_{-i}^{-1}(-x^*; z_{it}, Z) = v - \frac{1}{a(n-1)} \left[-x^* + \frac{2a\gamma}{r}(Z - z_{it}) \right] = v - 2\frac{\gamma}{r} \frac{Z}{n}. \quad (5)$$

We now verify that the postulated demand function D_{it} for agent i achieves the above demand x^* , regardless of the outcome of Z . We have

$$D_{it}(p^*) = a \left(v - p^* - \frac{2\gamma}{r} z_{it} \right) = a \left(v - \left(v - 2\frac{\gamma}{r} \frac{Z}{n} \right) - \frac{2\gamma}{r} z_{it} \right) = \frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z_{it} \right),$$

which is indeed equal to the optimal demand x^* .

In order to prove that the proposed indirect utility function V satisfies the HJB equation, we substitute our expressions for $V(z)$, p^* , and $D_{it}(p^*)$ into the right-hand-side of the HJB equation (1). To confirm that (1) is satisfied, we must show that for all real z and Z ,

$$0 = -\frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z \right) \left(v - 2\frac{\gamma}{r} \frac{Z}{n} \right) + V'(z) \frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z \right) + r(vz - V(z)) - \gamma z^2. \quad (6)$$

To see that (6) holds, note that

$$V'(z) \frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z \right) = v \frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z \right) - 2\frac{\gamma}{r} \frac{Z}{n} \frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z \right) + 2\frac{\gamma}{r} \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{2a\gamma}{r} \left(\frac{Z}{n} - z \right)^2$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
r(vz - V(z)) &= rvz - rv\frac{Z}{n} + r\frac{\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 - r\left(v - \frac{2\gamma Z}{r n}\right)\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right) + r\frac{\gamma}{r(n-1)}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= r\frac{\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 + r\frac{2\gamma Z}{r n}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right) + r\frac{\gamma}{r(n-1)}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The right-hand side of (6) is thus computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{2a\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right)\left(v - 2\frac{\gamma Z}{r n}\right) + V'(z)\frac{2a\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right) + r(vz - V(z)) - \gamma z^2 \\
&= \frac{4a\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right)\frac{\gamma Z}{r n} + -2\frac{\gamma Z}{r n}\frac{2a\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right) + 2\frac{\gamma}{r n - 1}\frac{2a\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right)^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma\left(\frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 + 2\gamma\frac{Z}{n}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{n-1}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 - \gamma z^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $a = (n-2)r^2/4\gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{2a\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right)\left(v - 2\frac{\gamma Z}{r n}\right) + V'(z)\frac{2a\gamma}{r}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right) + r(vz - V(z)) - \gamma z^2 \\
&= (n-2)\gamma\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right)\frac{Z}{n} + -(n-2)\gamma\frac{Z}{n}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right) + \frac{(n-2)\gamma}{n-1}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right)^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma\left(\frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 + 2\gamma\frac{Z}{n}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{n-1}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 - \gamma z^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, V satisfies the HJB equation because

$$\frac{(n-2)}{n-1}\left(\frac{Z}{n} - z\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 + 2\frac{Z}{n}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n-1}\left(z - \frac{Z}{n}\right)^2 - z^2 = 0.$$

Thus, using the fact that the demand function D_{it} solves the maximization problem of the HJB equation, and using the fact that V solves the HJB equation, an application of Ito's formula to the process J defined by $J(t) = V(z_{it})$ for $t < T$, and by $J(t) = \pi z_{iT}$ for $t \geq T$ implies that

$$V(z_{i0}) = E\left[z_{iT}(T)\pi - \int_0^T [\gamma z_{it}^2 + D_{it}[\Phi(D_{it} + D_{-i,t})]\Phi(D_{it} + D_{-it})] dt\right].$$

For any other demand function D for agent i , the HJB equation and Ito's formula implies

that implies that

$$V(z_{i0}) \geq E \left[z_i^D(T)\pi - \int_0^T [\gamma z_i^D(t)^2 + D_t [\Phi(D_t + D_{-i,t})] \Phi(D_t + D_{-i,t})] dt \right].$$

Thus D_i is indeed optimal for trader i given D_{-i} , and $V(z)$ is indeed the indirect utility of any agent with inventory z . This proves Proposition 7 of [Duffie and Zhu \(2016\)](#).

References

DUFFIE, D. AND H. ZHU (2016): “Size Discovery,” NBER working paper 21696.